Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/056,710	RIZKALLA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. William Long</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 February 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Párt I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
none	Serve A	
Claims discussed:		
6		
Prior art documents discussed:		
none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	NAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a v record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		•
(Application (Examiner/SPE Signature)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in allowable condition. Since the "consisting essentially of" in claim 1 would excluded any component which would have a significantl effect on the catalyst, such as fluorine. Claim 6 would fail to further limit claim 1. Claim 6 is converted to an independent claim to positively claim fluorine as one component of the promoter combination.